A Discourse concerning the Modern Theory of Generation; by Dr. George Garden of Aberdeen, being part of a Letter to Dr. William Musgrave, LL. D. Reg. Soc. S. and by him communicated to the Royal Society.

HE Subject I pitch upon, is that of the formation of Animals. You know how wide and unfatisfying Mens Conjectures were upon this Head until this Age, in which first the deservedly Famous Dr. Harvey discovered the proper place of the formation of the Chick in the Cicatricula of the Egg, and the formation of the Parts fo far as was discernable by the naked Eye; and after him Malpighius by the help of exact Glasses, observed the first Rudiments of it there, both before and after Incubation: And R. de Graef and others, having upon many Observations concluded that the Testes Faminei were the Ovaries of Females, and confequently that all Animals were ex ovo; they began from hence to infer, that the Rudiments of each Animal were originally in the respective Females, and that the Male contributed only to give a new Ferment to the Mass of the Blood and Spirits, by which means a spirituous Liquor (which the Blood in its ordinary Ferment could not produce) did infinuate its felf into the same Ducts and Pores of the Rudiments of those Animals which were in greatest forwardness in the Ovary, and so extend, and enlarge all their Parts, and at last bring them to perfection, as Mr. Perrault does ingeniously discourse in the third Part of his Essais de Physique; till now at last Leewenhoeck has discovered an infinite number of Animalcula in semine marium of all Kinds, which has made him condemn the former Opinions about the propagation of all Animals ex Ovo.

Now upon comparing the Observations and Discoveries which have been made with one another; these three

three things seem to me very probable. 1. That Animals are ex Animalculo. 2. That these Animalcles are originally in semine Marium & non in Faminis. 3. That they can never come forward, nor be formed into Animals of the

respective kind, without the Ova in faminis.

The first of these seems probable from these three Observations. 1. That some such Thing has been so often observed by Malpighius in the Cicatricula of an Egg before Incubation, as the Rudiments of an Animal in the shape of a Tadpole, as may be seen in his first, and in his repeated Observations de formatione Pulli in Ovo. The fudden appearance and displaying of all the Parts' after Incubation makes it probable, that they are not then actually formed out of a Fluid, but that the Stamina of them have been formerly there existent, and are now expanded. The first part of the Chick which is discovered with the naked Eye is, you know, the Punctum saliens, and that not till three days and nights of Incubation be past, and then on the fifth day the Rudiments of the Head and Body do appear. This made Dr. Harvey conclude that the Blood had a being before any other part of the Body, and that from it all the Organs of the Fatus were both form'd, and nourished; but by Malpighius's Observations we find that the Parts are then only fo far extended as to be made visible to the naked Eye, and that they were actually existent before, and discernable by Glasses. After an Incubation of thirty hours are to be feen the Head, the Eyes, and the Carina, with the Vertebra, distinct, and the Heart. After forty hours its Pulse is vifible, and all the other Parts more distinct, which cannot be discerned by the naked Eye before the beginning of the fifth day; from whence it feems very probable, that even the fo early discovery of those Parts of the  $F\alpha tus$  by the Microscope, is not the discerning of Parts newly form'd, but only more dilated and extended by receiving of Nutriment

ment from the Colloquamentum; fo that they feem all to have been actually existent before the Incubation of the And what Swammerdam has discovered in the transformation of Infects, gives no small light to this, whilst he makes appear in the Explanation of the 13th Table of the General History of Insects, that in those large Eruca's which feed upon Cabbage, if they be taken about the time they retire to be transform'd into Aurelia's, and plunged often in warm Water to make a Rupture of the outer Skin, you will discern through the Transparency of their fecond Membrane, all the Parts of the Butterfly, the Trunk, Wings, Feelers, Gc. folded up. But that after the Eruca is chang'd into an Aurelia, none of these Parts can be discerned, they are so drencht with moisture, though they be there actually form'd. Another Consideration is from the Analogy, which we may suppose between Plants and Animals. All Vegetables we fee do proceed ex Plantula, the Seeds of Vegetables being nothing else but little Plants of the same kind folded up in Coats and Membranes: and from hence we may probably conjecture that so curiously an organized Creature as an Animal, is not the fudden product of a Fluid or Colliquamentum, but does much rather proceed from an Animalcle of the same kind, and has all its little Members folded up according to their feveral Joynts and Plicatures, which are afterwards enlarged and distended, as we see in Plants. Now though this Confideration alone may feem not to bear much weight, yet being joyn'd to the two former they do mutually strengthen each other. And indeed all the Laws of Motion which are as yet discovered, can give but a very lame account of the forming of a Plant or Animal. We see how wretchedly Des Cartes came off when he began to apply them to this Subject; they form'd by Laws yet unknown to Mankind, and probable that the most Stamina of Animals that have been, or the Plants and thal!

shall be in the World, have been formed ab Origine Mundiby the Almighty Creator within the first of each respective kind. And he who considers the nature of Vision, that it does not give us the true magnitude, but the proportion of Things, and that what seems to our naked Eye but a Point, may truly be made up of as many Parts as seem to us to be in the whole visible World,

will not think this an abfurd or impossible thing.

But the fecond thing which later discoveries have made probable is, that these Animalcles are originally in Semine Marium & non in faminis. And this I Collect from these Considerations. 1. That there are innumerable Animalcula discovered in Semine Masculo omnium Animalium. Mr. Leewenhoeck has made this fo evident by so many Observations, that I do not in the leastquestion the truth of the thing. The reason of their multitude, and some of the difficulties which arise thereupon, he has cleared to very good purpose, so that I shall not repeat them. 2. The observing the Rudiments of the  $F_{\alpha}$ tus in Eggs, which have been fecundated by the Male, and the feeing no fuch thing in those which are not fecundated, as appears from Malpighius his Observations, make it very probable that these Rudiments proceed originally from the Male, and not from the Female. 3. The refemblance between the Rudiments of the fatus in Ovo, both before and after Incubation, and the Animalcule, makes it very probable that they are one and the fame. The fame shape and figure which Mr. Leewenboeck gives us of the Animalcule, Malpighius likewise gives of the Rudiments of the Fatus, both before and after Incubation, yea, and even the Fatus's of Animals do appear so at first to the naked Eye, so that Dr. Harvey does acknowledge that all Animals, even the most perfect are begotten of a Worm, De Gen. Anim. Ex. 13. 4. This gives a rational account of many Fatus's at one Birth, especially that of the Countess of Holland,

Holland, and how at least a whole Cluster of Eggs in a Hen are fecundated by one Coition of the Male. 5. This gives a new light as it were to the first Prophecy concerning the Mesliab, that the Seed of the Woman shall bruise the Head of the Serpent, all the rest of Mankind being thus most properly and truly the Seed of the Man. 6. The Analogy I have already mentioned, which we may rationally suppose between the manner of the propagation of Plants and Animals, does likewise make this probable. Every Herb and Tree bears its Seed after its kind, which Seed is nothing else but a little Plant of the same kind, which being thrown into the Earth, as into its Uterus, spreads forth its Roots and receives its nourishment, but has its form within its felf, and we may rationally conjecture some such Analogy in the Propagation of Animals.

The third Particular which later Discoveries make probable, is that Animals cannot be form'd of these Animalcula without the Ova in faminiz which are necessary for supplying of them with proper Nutriment: And this these Considerations seem to evince. 1. It is probable that an Animalcle cannot come forward if it do not fall into a proper Nidus. This we see is the Cicatricula in Eggs, and tho' a Million of them should fall into an Egg, none of them would come forward, but what were in the Center of the Cicatricula, and perhaps the Nidus necessary for their formation is so proportion'd to their bulk, that it can hardly contain more than one Animalcle; and this may be the reason why there are so few Monsters. This we see is absolutely necessary in Oviparis, and the only difference which feems to be between them and the Vivipara in this matter is in this, that in the latter the Ova are properly nothing more but the Cicatricula with its Colliquamentum, so that the Fatus must spread forth its roots into the Uterus to receive its nourishment; but the Eggs in Oviparis may be properly term'd an Uterus

in relation to the Fatus; for they contain not only the Cicatricula with its Amnion and the Colliquamentum, which is the immediate nourishment of the  $F\alpha tus$ ; but also the materials which are to be converted into that Colliquamentum, so that the Fatus spreads forth its roots no farther than into the white and yolk of the Egg, from whence it derives all its nourishment. Now that an Animalcle cannot come forward without some such proper Nidus, Mr. Leewenhoeck will not readily deny; for if there were nothing needful but their being thrown into the Uterus, I do not see why many hundreds of them should not come forward at once; for as to what Mr. Leewenhoeck fays, that one of them would bedwarf and choak the rest; this might fall out in process of time: but at first I do not see why many of them should not grow together, whilst scattered in so large a Field: (and yet no such thing is observed) if there were not an absolute necessity of a Cicatricula for their growth and thriving. Now, 2. That this Cicatricula is not originally in Utero, seems evident from the frequent Conceptions which have been found extra Uterum: Such as the Child which continued twenty fix Years in the Woman of Thoulouse's Belly, mentioned Numb. 139. of the Phil. Trans. And the little Fatus found in the Abdomen de St. Mere, together with the Testicle torn and full of clotted Blood recorded Numb. 150. both taken out of the Journals des Scavans: Such also feem to be the Fatus in the Abdomen of the Woman of Copenhagen, mentioned in the Nouvelles des Lettres, for Sept. 85. pag. 996. all the Members of which were easily to be felt through the Skin of the Belly, and which she had carried in her Belly for four Years; And the feven Years Gravidation related by Dr. Cole, Numb. 172. of the Transact. That these two were undoubtedly extra Uterum is uncertain, because the last was not opened after her death, and the former may be yet still alive. Now granting

ing once the necessity of a proper Nidus for the formation of an Animalcle into the Animal of its respective kind: these Observations make it probable that the Testes are the Ovaria appropriated for this use; for though the Animalcles coming thither in fuch Cases may seem to be extraordinary, and that usually the Impregnation is in Utero; yet it may be collected from hence, that the Cicatricula or Ova to be impregnated are in Testibus samineis; for if it were not so, the accidental coming of Animalcles thither could not make them come forward more than in any other part of the Body, fince they cannot be formed and nourished without a proper Nidus. But, 3. It is acknowledged by all, that the Fætus in Utero for some considerable time after Conception has no Connexion with the Womb, that it fits wholly loofe to it, and is perfectly a little round Egg with the  $F\alpha tus$  in the midst, which sends forth its Umbilical Vessels by degrees, and at last lays hold on the Now from hence it feems evident, that the Cicatricula, which is the Fountain of the Animalcles nourishment, does not sprout from the Uterus, but has its Origine elsewhere, and falls in thither as into a fit Soil from whence it may draw Nutriment for the growth of the  $F\alpha$ tus: else it cannot be easily imagined how it should not have an immediate Connexion with the Uterus from the time of Conception. If you joyn all these three Considerations together, viz. that an Animalcle cannot come forward without a proper Nidus or Cicatricula; that there have been frequent Fætus's extra Uterum; and that they have no Adhasion to the Uterus for a considerable time after Conception, they feem to make it evident that Animals cannot be form'd ex Animalculis without the Ova in To all these I shall subjoyn the proposal of an Experimentum Crucis, which may feem to determine whether the Testes Faminea be truly the Ovaria, viz. Open the Abdomen of the Females of some kinds, and cut out thele

these Testicles, and this will determine whether they be absolutely necessary for the formation of Animals.

There are some difficulties proposed against this Conjecture, which I think may be easily resolved. Some object the distance between the Tuba or Cornua Uteri and the Testicles; but to this is opposed by Swammerdam and others, the like distance between the *Infundibulum*, in Hens and Frogs, and the Ovary, and yet it cannot be denied that the Eggs are transmitted through this into the Uterus: and besides R. de Graef, and others, have by repeated Observations found that the Cornua Uteri do at certain times after Conception, embrace the Testes on both sides the Uterus. They object in the second place the great disproportion between the pretended Eggs in the Ovary, and the Aperture of the Tuba or Cornua Uteri, the former being a great deal bigger than the latter: but both R. de Graef and Malpighius have cleared that matter by making appear, that these Bladders in the Ovary are not the Ova, but serve to form the Glandules within which the Ova are formed, which break through a small Papilla opening in the Glandule, which bears a proportion to the Aperture of the Tube. They object, 3. The difficulty to conceive how these Eggs should be impregnated per semen Maris, both because there is no Connexion between the Tuba and the Ovary for its transmission, and for that Dr. Harvey could never discover any thing of it in Utero. As to the last, Mr. Leewenhoeck has cleared that difficulty by the discovery of innumerable Animalcule Seminis Maris in Cornubus Uteri, and those living a considerable time after Coition. Numb. 174. of the Transact. And as to the former we may either suppose that there is such an Inflation of the Tuba or Cornua uteri tempore Coitionis, as makes them embrace the Ovaria, and such an approach of the Uterus and its Cornua, as that it may eafily transmit the Seed into the Ovary; or else that the Ova are impregnated by the Animalcles

Animalcles after they descend into the Uterus, and not in the Ovary; the former feems probable for this reason, that at least a whole Cluster of Eggs in a Hen will be fecundated by one tread of the Cock; now this Fecundation feems to be in the Vitellary, and not in the Uterus, as the Eggs pass along from day to day, for it can hardly be fupposed that the Animalcles should subsist so long, being scattered loosely in the Uterus, as to wait there for many days for the fecundation of the Eggs as they pass along. The latter conjecture has this to strengthen it, that the Animalcles are found to live a confiderable time in the Uterus, and that if they should impregnate the Ova in the Ovary it felf, the Fatus would increase so fast, that the Ova could not pass through the Tuba Uteri, but would either burst the Ovary, or fall down into the Abdomen from the Orifices of the Tubæ; and that from hence proceed those extraordinary Conceptions in Abdomine extra But, 4. Mr. Leewenboeck, Numb. 147. of the Transact. to weaken the third Consideration about the Conceptions being like unto an Ovum in the Womb, proposes a Parallel between these Animalcles and Insects, and infinuates that as the latter cast their Skins and appear of another shape, so the other which at first seem like Tadpoles, may cast their outer Skin and then be round, and that this may be the occasion of the round Figure of the Conception in the Womb. To this it may be replied, that according to Mr. Leewenboeck's own fentiment, the Animalcles cannot come forward if they do not find the Punctum or proper place for their nourishment, to which it seems they must have some Adhasson. Now the Conception in Viviparis is not fastned unto the Womb for many days, nor does adhere to any point of it, so that it feems this roundish Body is not the Animalcle thus chang'd after having cast an outer Skin, but is rather the Cicatricula or little Egg, into which the Animalcle has entred as its Punctum

Punctum or place of nourishment: Else I do not see why they should not be adhering to the Womb from the sirst Conception, or why (as I have said) many hundreds of them are not conceived and formed together, &c.

Observatio Mercurii sub Sole visi, ultimo Octobris 1690. Stil. vet. habita Noribergæ ab Astronomo accuratissimo Johanne Phil. Wurtzelbaur, atque ab eodem cum Reg. Societate communicata.

MErcurius sub Sole inque ejus disco, hoc seculo vix aliquoties ope Telescopii, ante hujus inventionem autem ab orbe condito nusquam gentium observatus est. Sub finem Octobris labentis hujus anni denuò observandum ex. Tabb. Rudolphinarum calculo celeberrimi Logista predixerunt. Cùm verò nemo qui delicias Urania vel primis degustarit labris, rarissimum hujusmodi & jucundissimum Phanomenon contueri non summa aviditate exoptaret: eo ipso & ego invitatus illi observando invigilare decrevi, nihil morans, quòd cælum jam ab aliquot septimanis nube ferè continua obductum vix aliquid spei successus optati concesserit: Præcedentis equidem diei vespera noctifq; primordia nubes aliquantulum dissiparunt, sed nox adulta Calum ab omni parte denuò obvelavit, ut hora ante Solis exortum totum obductum extiterit, nec ipsemet Sol jam satis elevatus suis radiis invidos hosce vapores penetrare valuerit: Paulò verò postquam Zephyri à parte occiduâ Horizontem repurgare caperunt, ingruent illine serenitas addidit animum, ut voti compotentime futurum band amplius desperaverim; nubes eriam in plaçã orientali retro cedere, & ad borizontem constipari videns, Tuhum illò ubi emersio Solis è nebulis expectanda erat direxi;